

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed October 3, 2003, the Applicants elect Group I, as amended, with traverse.

Although the inventions of Groups I and II are distinct, the claims comprising Groups I and II differ only in scope and in form. Furthermore, the inventions of Groups I and II are related as they all are concerned with a networked sales method. Thus, the examiner has failed to sustain the burden required for restriction and the requirement for restriction is therefore improper.


Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP

Date: 12/27/, 2003

12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026  
(408) 720-8598

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
André L. Marais  
Registration No. 48,095